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ABSTRACT

This study reports on an empirical study into teachers’ and students’ perceptions and practices of culture teaching and learning in a Saudi university from an intercultural perspective. Various perspectives on culture from the fields of anthropology, psychology, and intercultural communication were analyzed, and three approaches to culture learning were devised based on an exhaustive review of pertinent literature. The present study is based on the assumption that culture learning is a developmental process that requires development of both culture-specific knowledge and culture-general skills. Utilising a descriptive research method, the researcher revealed that the students’ background heritage was a significant factor that affected their performance in cross-cultural competence and their perceptions of the relationship between language and culture. Additionally, it was revealed that teaching literature can be an effective tool in improving cultural competence of EFL students learning English as a foreign language. Analyses further implied that the native culture of EFL students can counterfeit overt culture teaching, especially when it is religiously hard-lined and fraught with taboos, thus being conducive to covert culture teaching to avert friction between the target language and the native culture of EFL learners. In fine, the study concludes with a summary of the findings and their implications to the pedagogy of English. Finally, a discussion of the potential difficulties in incorporating culture learning into EFL curricula, conclusions from the present study and pertinent research, and suggestions for further research were forwarded.
تكامل التعلم الثقافي مع تعليم اللغات الأجنبية

ملخص البحث

هذا التقرير البحثي قد تناول دراسة 'إمبيريقية' تفحصت مدركات ومارسات المعلمين والطلاب حول تدريس الثقافة وتعلمنها ضمن برامج تعليم اللغات الأجنبية بإحدى الجامعات السعودية. وقد قام البحث بتحليل المداخل والرؤى المتعددة التي تحمل مفهوم التواصل الثقافي اعتماداً على مراجعة أدبيات علم الإنسان، وعلم النفس، ونظريات التواصل بين الثقافات. وقد قامت الدراسة الخاصة على أسس أن التعليم الثقافي عملية غير متناهية يصاحب التعلم اللغوي، ويطلب تنمية مهارات التواصل الثقافي لعموميات الثقافة وخصوصياتها. وقد استخدم الباحث المنهج الوصفي لإعداد مجموعة ملخصات تعلِّم اللغة الموسيقية كإطار أساسي في تعليم اللغة الإنجليزية بوصفها لغة أجنبية. وقد أشارت التطورات التربوية للتذكير إلى أن ثقافة الطلاب الأصلية قد تتداخل مع التدريس المباشر لثقافة الأجنبي للغة وخاصة إذا كانت تلك الثقافة متشابهة بالأفكار الدينية المتصلة، وملائمة بالخبرات الثقافية، الأمر الذي يؤدي إلى تدريس خفي للثقافة الأجنبية لتعزيز الاحتكاك بين اللغة الأجنبية المحاكمة وبين الثقافة الوطنية للطلاب الدارسين لها. وفي الختام، قدم البحث استخلاصاته الختامية، وتقديم هنالك التوجهات التي تفيد في تدريس وتصميم مناهج تعلِّم اللغة الإنجليزية بوصفها لغة أجنبية، ثم ساق ملاحظات التطورات الثقافي ضمن مناهج التعلم، وقدم استخلاصاته ومقتنياته للبحوث المستقبلية.
Introduction

The word culture can be defined as the full range of learned human behaviour patterns collectively acquired in a community of humans. The term was first used in this sense by the pioneer English Anthropologist Edward B. Tylor in his book *Primitive Culture*, published in 1871:

Culture or Civilisation, taken in its wide ethnographic sense is that complex whole which includes knowledge, belief, art, law, morals, custom, and any other capabilities and habits acquired by man as a member of society. The condition of culture among the various societies of mankind, in so far as it is capable of being investigated on general principles, is a subject apt for the study of laws of human thought and action" (Tylor, 1871, p.1)

Of relevance, too, Newmark defines culture as "the way of life and its manifestations that are peculiar to a community that uses a particular language as its means of expression" (1988, p. 94), thus acknowledging that each language group has its own culturally specific features. Indeed, cultures simultaneously share and differ in certain aspects such as beliefs, habits and values. These are the culture-general aspects that all cultures share while the aspects in which they differ are usually reckoned to be culture-specific (Brislin & Yoshida, 1994, p. 37). Guilherme (2013) thus aptly notes “The fewer culture-general aspects shared and the more culture-specifcics identified, the more we perceive a culture as being different” (p. 297).

Newmark (1988) further alludes that he does "not regard language as a component or feature of culture" (p. 95) in consistency with the view taken by Vermeer who states that "language is part of a culture" (1988, p. 222). In this regard, this view is consolidated by Lotman's theory which states that "no language can exist unless it is steeped in the context of culture; and no culture can exist which does not have at its centre, the structure of natural language" (Lotman, 1978, pp. 211-32). Bassnett (1980, pp. 13-14) underlines the importance of this double consideration when translating by stating that language is "the heart within the body of
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culture,” the survival of both aspects being interdependent. According to Kramsch (1993, p. 1), culture

“is always in the background, right from day one, ready to unsettle the good language learners when they expect it least, making evident the limitations of their hard-won communicative competence, challenging their ability to make sense of the world around them.”

Sociologically, culture is a very broad and general concept, so to get to know a given culture means to gain extensive knowledge of a particular community of people living in a particular area of land. It seems useful to make a distinction between the so-called uppercase-C culture and lowercase-c culture (Kramsch, 1993; Bhabha, 1994). The big-C genre of culture is usually easy to explore, as it constitutes all the factual knowledge about fine arts in a particular human community such as literature, music, dance, painting, sculpture, theater, and film (Kramsch, 1993). The small-c culture, on the other hand, comprises a wide variety of aspects, many of which are inter-connected, including attitudes, assumptions, beliefs, perceptions, norms and values, social relationships, customs, celebrations, rituals, politeness conventions, patterns of interaction and discourse organization, the use of time in communication, and the use of physical space and body language (Kramsch, 1993; Byram, 2000).

A community's ways of speaking or signing are a part of the community's culture, just as other shared practices are. Language use is a way of establishing and displaying group identity. Ways of speaking function not only to facilitate communication, but also to identify the social position of the speaker. Linguists call different ways of speaking language varieties, a term that encompasses geographically or socio-culturally defined dialects as well as the jargons or styles of subcultures. Linguistic anthropologists and sociologists of language define communicative style as the ways that language is used and understood within a particular culture (Clancy, 1986).

Some such lowercase-c cultural aspects can be grasped and learned as they are observable, while other dimensions, hidden, intricate and
delicate, are imparted to us from birth, and therefore, are deeply internalized and subconscious and are often noticed only in contrast with another culture. It is mainly these non-tangible cultural aspects that have an enormous influence on people’s way of thinking and their linguistic/non-linguistic behavior and that, importantly, determine the expectations and interpretations of other people’s linguistic/non-linguistic behavior. A person who encounters an unfamiliar culture will lack knowledge of such behaviors, which may lead to amusing situations, and even conflict, caused by miscommunication. This happens because these aspects of culture are unspoken rules created by a community. Because these cultural rules are full of meaning and “allow people to anticipate events, they often acquire a moral rigidity and righteousness that engender stereotypes and even prejudices” (Kramsch 1995, p. 2).


Integrating culture in the language classroom through teaching English literature can be understood as important and necessary. Practically, however, many problems arise when implementing culture-embedded literature teaching in the foreign language classroom in Saudi universities. For instance, teachers’ limited foreign experiences, limited knowledge of the target culture, lack of methods and materials, lack of time, and fear of controversy over teaching values and attitudes have been globally recognised as impediments to culture/literature teaching in some communities (Arries, 1994; Bragaw, 1991; Damen, 1987; Hadley, 1993; Mantle-Bromley, 1993). An identified problem in relevant literature with regard to culture teaching is that culture awareness
methodology is vast, and a great many techniques have been employed in order to strip away the layers of obfuscation blotting out the term ‘culture’ (Bessmertnyi, 1994).

A growing body of prior research in English language education and cultural training focuses on ‘non-native English speaking’ teachers of English (Amin, 1997; Braine, 1999; Kachru, 1992; Kahmi-Stein, 2004; Phillipson, 1992; Widdowson, 1994). Much of this research problematizes the ownership of English and the privileged position of the inner circle of English-speaking countries such as the U.K., the U.S., Canada, Australia and New Zealand (Wee, 2002; Kachru, 2006b). Harumi (2002), quoting Kachru (2006a, p.11), contends that the globalization of English naturally promotes not only the "Englishization" of other world languages but also the "nativization" of English. Harumi further maintains that the widespread dissemination of English as an international language, whatever the dialects it is spoken in, has stripped the English-speaking peoples off the sole ownership of the language because English today has "ceased to be a vehicle of Western culture; it only marginally carries the British and American way of life" (Kachru, 1986, p.92).

This study aims at recognizing teachers’ and students’ perceptions and practices of culture teaching and learning in a Saudi university from an intercultural perspective. Therefore, the problem object of the study can be expressed in the following research question:

What are the perceptions of faculty and students in the English Department of the College of Languages and Translation, King Khalid University (KKU), Abha, towards culture-embedded EFL learning/teaching?

**Literature Review**

Teaching culture is not synonymous with the regular, formal "transmission of information regarding the people of the target community or country, even though this kind of knowledge is an important ingredient" through formal language curricula (Nostrand, 1967, p.118). Culture is not merely a repository of facts and experiences
to which one can have recourse, if need be, but rather a process of developing intercultural competence. Intercultural competence is the ability of successful communication with people of other cultures in a way that enables a person to capture and understand other people's thinking, feeling and acting, without prejudices related to race, religion, class, etc. (Rathje, 2007). Fantini (2006) defined ICC as “the complex of abilities needed to perform effectively and appropriately when interacting with others who are linguistically and culturally different from oneself” (p.1). This definition carries two perspectives. While effectiveness refers to one’s own view of one’s performance in a second language and culture, appropriateness refers to how one’s own performance is perceived by one’s host (Fantini, 2006). In line with this definition, the concept of "intercultural competence" calls forth a wide range of associations, such as intercultural communicative competence (Byram, 1997; Simons, 2010). Guilherme (2013) defines intercultural competence as "the ability to interact effectively with people from cultures that we recognise as being different from our own." (p. 297) Interacting effectively across different cultures involves people with negotiating both culture-specific and culture-general features in a way that helps interlocutors to respect each other. In this way, too, the communicative competence, the power index of a language, renders one able to "cope with different cultures ... pay attention to differences in culture, detect them and react on them in an adequate way on the daily work floor" (Simons, 2010, p. 3).

Therefore, to learn a foreign language is not merely to learn how to communicate, but also to discover how much flexibility the target language (in Foreign Language Teaching, the language to be learned) allows learners to manipulate grammatical forms, sounds, and meanings, and to reflect upon, or even flout, socially accepted norms at work both in their own or the target culture, thus requiring some sort of intercultural awareness.

Reviewing prior research of relevance in this respect demonstrates that language learning grounded in culture training can help foreign language learners to develop a sense of cultural pluralism (openness to and appreciation of other cultures) and have an improved self-concept.
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and sense of achievement in school (Lipton, 2004; Rubio, 2007; Tochon, Kasperbauer, and Potter, 2007; Tochon, 2009).

In this vein, Lessard-Clouston (1997) has adeptly observed that in the past people used to learn a foreign language with the objective of studying its literature, and this was the main medium of culture. Across the second half of the past century, several researchers (Hall, 1981; Nostrand, 1974, Seelye, 1984; Brooks, 1975) made an endeavour to base foreign language learning on a universal ground of emotional and physical needs, so that ‘the foreign culture [would appear] less threatening and more accessible to the language learner’ (Kramsch, 1993, p. 224).

Intercultural communication is particularly important to EFL students because their academic success relies on their communicative competence (S. Zimmerman, 1995). EFL learners naturally face cultural differences which cause different communication patterns, especially in real life natural settings in which the language is practiced. Thus, the development of intercultural communicative competence (ICC) is important in order to minimize such conflicts and miscommunication (Gudykunst & Kim, 1997).

Therefore, it is necessary to foster cultural awareness by teaching culture incorporated in the learners’ consciousness of the hidden assumptions and premises underlying their belief and value systems (Humphrey, 1997, p. 242) and, most importantly, to show that our own culture predisposes us to a certain worldview by creating a

‘cognitive framework…[which] is made up of a number of unquantifiables, embracing assumptions about how the world is constructed’ (ibid.). But this cognitive framework is, to a great extent, maintained and sanctioned through the very use of language, which is arguably ‘the most visible and available expression of [a] culture’ (Brown, 1986, cited in Valdes, 1986, p. 33).

However, language and culture are so intricately related that their boundaries, if any, are extremely blurred and it is difficult to become
aware of the assumptions and expectations that we hold (Kramsch, 1998). Therefore, it should be reiterated that language teaching is culture teaching, that is, ‘by teaching a language…one is inevitably already teaching culture implicitly’ unlimited by specific bounds (McLeod, 1976, p. 212); therefore, gaining insights into the foreign language should automatically presuppose immersion in the foreign culture, insofar as these two – language and culture – go hand in hand in the classroom. Literature will help in the cultural training process. Contrary to the notions of Brooks (1968), some researchers have recognized the significance of using literature to relay the culture of a given language in a more contextualized fashion. That is, using intercultural topics in the classroom so that learners may potentially acquire skills in eliciting meaning under the guidance of their foreign language teachers.

Methodology

The method of research contrived for this study was the descriptive method, using a questionnaire. The descriptive research design with qualitative data from the open-ended section of the questionnaire can provide useful information about the distribution of a wide range of characteristics and relationships between variables of the study, the purpose being to

"use questionnaires to collect data from participants in a sample about their characteristics, experiences, and opinions in order to generalize the findings to a population that the sample is intended to represent."

(Gall, et al., 1996, p. 289)

This approach of research can provide a basis for decisions that are significant for the evaluation of current educational practices (Patton, 2002).

Instrumentation

In order to explore the perceptions of and attitudes towards culture in the classroom and the teaching of English literature, as perceived by language instructors and students in the English department, College of Languages and Translation, King Khalid University, a questionnaire (in
two formats, one for teachers and the other adapted for students) was designed to elicit instructors and students’ views on three major aspects identified in relevant literature: (1) feelings about both the importance of teaching culture and literature in EFL classrooms; (2) perceptions about culture in the current literature curriculum; and (3) inhibitors and impediments to EFL literature teaching in Saudi universities.

As the survey was intended to be exploratory for instructors’ and students’ views on the use of literature as a tool for culture teaching in EFL, independent variables, such as instructors’ length of experience and nationality, although noted, were not critical factors. The lack of model-building, which usually includes independent variables and dependent variables, i.e. attitudes, may appear to be a major weakness in this study; however, given the general dearth of similar studies, the questionnaire serves as a source of information gathering. The questionnaire included both a Likert scale answer option as well as space for an open-ended response on most items.

In this way, participants with time and interest in the survey topic were able to expand on their answers, while those with little time or interest could simply circle numbers on the Likert scales. In this sense, the advantages of both close-ended responses, i.e. those readily quantified and easily analysed, and open-ended responses, i.e. those providing more useful and insightful data, could be maximised. The main disadvantage of open-ended questions, i.e. unmanageably large amounts of data, was minimal because of the small sample size.

Because several of the questions required more than an informal, superficial understanding of terminology, examples were provided as part of the question to ensure understanding where informants can add more details or comments in the comments spaces below.

**Validity of the Instruments**

Inter-rater validation indicated the instruments were valid enough to collect the data they were meant to gather.
Reliability

The reliability of the questionnaire has been determined using the Kuder-Richardson formula (21) (Brown, 1997, p. 202). The reliability co-efficient computed for the 35 items was 0.76, which is fairly high.

The questionnaire was preliminarily administered to 7 faculty members and junior staff members to determine its reliability, employing a test-retest method. The reliability of the survey was determined using the Kuder-Richardson formula (21). The reliability co-efficient computed for the survey items was 0.87, which is high enough to make the survey reliable for administration.

Participants

English language instructors at the College of Languages and Translation, KKU, participated in this study. Twenty-five instructors had taught for more than ten years, and their teaching experiences ranged from 5–15 years; some had more than 15 years’ experience in TEFL. Of them, 40 % were Ph.D. holders, and the remaining 60 % were MAs. Participant teachers, most of them, taught at universities, though some taught at junior colleges (two-year post-secondary institutions), and some spanned a wide spectrum of learning institutions ranging from high school to university, with a wide experience in ESL and EFL.

Participant students in the questionnaire study were 33 in number, enrolled in levels five and six of study (upper-intermediate level); their mean age was 20.3 years. The participant students’ native language was Arabic. Although no identical proficiency test was given to the students, the researcher could assume that all student informants were equivalent in terms of proficiency, based on information provided from the academic advisor on their grade point averages (GPAs).

Results

Informants responded to the survey questions on a five-point scale, from ‘Very Important’ (scored 1) to ‘Unimportant’ (scored 5) or, in the case of questions referring to specific teaching/learning practices, from ‘Always’ (scored 1) to ‘Never’ (scored 5). In either case, a low group
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score, in the range of 1–2, indicates a significant commitment on the part of the instructors to the views or the practices mentioned, and a high score, in the range of 3–4, indicates a lack of commitment.

As for the open-ended questions requiring written responses, approximately 95 % of the instructors answered some or all of the question items that allowed open-ended comments, while 85 % of the student sample filled out this section.

Perhaps unsurprisingly, these open-ended responses covered a remarkable range of examples and ideas. The first five questions dealt with informants’ attitudes towards the teaching/learning of culture as part of their language classes (See the questionnaire attached).

Table 1: Weighted percentages, and \( \chi^2 \) for Teachers’ Feelings about both the importance of teaching culture and literature in EFL classrooms (Culture Content)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Weighted percentage</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freq</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Freq</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Freq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* significant at 0.01; ** significant at 0.05

Table (1) above shows the \( \chi^2 \) values for the items from 1 to 4, all being significant at 0.01, which indicates that all informants agreed to the items of this section positively, suggesting that instructors think that it is important to include aspects of culture in their teaching of English language.

While no teacher thought it was unimportant, a mean of 8.5 respondents circled ‘a neutral response’ suggesting that some instructors remain in doubt about the importance of culture in EFL classrooms, despite the wealth of research advocating a role for culture.
In open-ended responses, teachers indicated that novels, short stories, and drama are the major genres of creative writing that can best depict a particular culture in a given language; as such, informants, from amongst teachers, indicated that these genres have to be extensively included in the English literature component of the BA programme in the college.

Table 2: Weighted percentages, and \( \chi^2 \) for Students’ Feelings about both the importance of teaching culture and literature in EFL classrooms (Culture Content)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Weighted percentage</th>
<th>( \chi^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freq</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Freq</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Freq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>61.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant at 0.01

Table (2) above shows the \( \chi^2 \) values for the items from 1 to 4, all being significant at 0.01, which indicates that all informants agreed to the items of this section positively, suggesting that students, too, deemed it important to include aspects of culture in their language curriculum. While no teacher thought it was unimportant, a mean of 9 respondents circled ‘a neutral response’ suggesting that some EFL students remain dubious about the significance of incorporating culture as a component in the EFL curriculum. Students indicated that novels and stories are extensively loaded with culture, and they ought to study many of these courses across the language curriculum, in lieu of the intensive skills courses they are immersed in.

Table 3: Teachers’ perceptions about culture in the current literature curriculum (Role of Language and Culture in TEFL)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Weighted percentage</th>
<th>Chi²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freq</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Freq</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Freq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>83.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* significant at 0.01; ** significant at 0.05

In section 2, which tapped into the perceptions about culture in the current literature curriculum, most informant teachers – as shown in table 3 above – scored strongly towards the positive end with considerably less deviation. No instructors in this study claimed to never teach culture, but a few instructors circled ‘neutral’ indicating that their classes contained minimal cultural information.

Table 4: Students’ perceptions about culture in the current literature curriculum (Role of Language and Culture in TEFL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Weighted percentage</th>
<th>Chi²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freq</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Freq</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Freq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in table (4) above, student informants were of the same opinion as their teachers: they positively agreed that the current curriculum provided culture teaching, and that their teachers also taught culture while they were teaching language, but a few (mean number of respondents = 7) were not convinced that enough culture was taught in the language curriculum. Those students explained, in the open-ended spaces, that the current literature curriculum is not adequate to provide an appropriately balanced quantity of culture learning; they indicated that even some of the literature courses have been tuned to address Islamic topics, such a
course titled 'Islam in World Literature', which cannot be claimed as teaching the English culture.

In section 3, which sought to recognise the inhibitors and impediments to EFL literature teaching in Saudi universities, the following tables show teacher and student informants’ responses to the items of this section:

Table (5): Inhibitors and impediments of teaching culture within literature: Adjustment of published materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Weighted percentage</th>
<th>Chi²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freq</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Freq</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>14.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>24.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>71.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* significant at 0.01; ** significant at 0.05

With all Chi² values being significant at 0.01 as is shown in Table (5) above, the figures demonstrate that teachers were cognizant of their perceptions of the inhibitors and impediments of teaching culture within literature in the BA curriculum provisioned for EFL students in the College of Languages and Translation, at KKU.

Nearly half the informants do not agree that the barriers cited are deemed inhibitive impediments except for item 12, addressing availability of material and teaching technology, such as video and audio clips. They think so because (1) some courses do not give them the opportunity to use drama video clips for introducing the target culture to the students in attractive formats, (2) literary texts available cannot be integrated with audio-video material easily due to time constraints in the classroom as well as lack of lab facilities, (3) teachers do not incorporate video clips available with these courses due to native cultural barriers, mostly associated with religious or socio-religious factors; and, finally, (4) the literature
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course instructors do not provide their students with supplementary material (e.g. texts, graphics, advance organisers, audio, video, etc.) that integrate culture and literature learning.

Table 6: Teachers’ perceptions of the inhibitors and impediments of teaching culture within literature: Adjustment of published materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Weighted percentage</th>
<th>Chi²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freq</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Freq</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>49.00</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* significant at 0.01; ** significant at 0.05

In table (6) above, all Chi² values are significant at 0.01, except for item 11 which is significant at 0.05. This indicates that informants perceived the following factors as inhibitors and impediments to integrating culture within English literature teaching in KKU in the following order according to the perceptions of the informants as determined by Chi² and weighted percents:

1. lack of enough native-language speakers on the teaching staff;
2. lack of time and adequate material covering overt and covert cultural aspects within literary texts;
3. socio-cultural and religious factors;
4. lack of teaching technology enabling culture learning in classrooms.

The large difference in the mean scores between Section 1 and section 3 suggests that although participant instructors thought cultural content available within the current literature curriculum was important, and they did tend to teach it, it is actually planned only some of the time, due to time constraints and lack of literary texts rich in the culture of the English language. Presumably, instructors plan other parts of a lesson more rigorously than the
parts that include culture. The reasons also have to do with the cultural and religious composition of Saudi society. Most students responded negatively in the open-ended part of section three when they were asked about whether it is culturally and religiously appropriate for them to view dramatic video clips with music and female actresses. They think so because they tend to believe that drama in videos expose exhibits women in nudity, which is not culturally acceptable for them. This pattern, where culture is perceived as important, yet is taught less rigorously, also appeared in Lessard-Clouston’s study of Chinese instructors of English (1996) in which 69% of the instructors seldom or never taught culture despite their belief in its importance.

In open-ended responses, teachers also believed it is difficult to teach the covert aspects of culture, while they can teach overt culture more readily. Overt culture refers to those surface elements of culture that are visible and apparent, while covert culture comprises those aspects which are not readily visible or understood. Levine and Adelman (1993) used an iceberg illustration to explain the concept with the visible portion representing elements such as language, food and appearance, while the larger, hidden part includes cultural aspects such as beliefs, attitudes and values (p. xviii). Participant instructors tended towards teaching overt elements of culture more often than covert ones, but not with a slight preference for overt rather than covert culture at times, depending on the material and cultural content of literary texts.

The question on whether instructors include covert culture brought out a remarkable variety of responses, although a full one-quarter of the instructors seldom or never include covert culture as part of their teaching. In open-ended responses, twelve instructors mentioned some discussion of religious beliefs, while others mentioned aspects of society, marriage, dating, social life, privacy, without explaining the values associated with these elements.
The implications of such responses suggest that the native culture of the students (Saudi culture) is more reserved and religiously hard-lined than the English language cultures. While there is plenty of evidence that this is true, postmodern beliefs suggest that such cultural constructs cannot encompass the diversity that exists, not only within an individual culture, but within individuals themselves (Spack, 1997a). Therefore, instructors leave themselves open to criticism of stereotyping with such statements.

Conclusions

The purpose of this study was to identify the perceptions of and attitudes towards the role of literature courses in the teaching of culture in the foreign language classrooms in a Saudi university, as perceived by teachers and students in the English department. This paper has stressed the importance of incorporating culture in language learning, especially within the literature curriculum, and the dynamic relationship between culture learning and ELT in Saudi Arabia.

It can be concluded that EFL instructors and students in Saudi Arabia already recognize the important role that literature can play in culture learning in EFL classes. Identifying the importance of literature in the conveyance of culture is commensurate with prior research which can be implicitly or directly used to acculturate EFL learners into the English language culture (Lessard-Clouston, Hall, 1981; Nostrand, 1974; Seelye, 1984; Brooks, 1968; 1975; Lessard-Clouston, 1997; Kramsch, 1993) in a more contextualised fashion. Recent research also recognises that literature can efficiently induce intercultural competence (Savignon, 2007; Blackledge & Creese, 2009; Deters, 2009; Mohammadzadeh, 2009; Tay, 2010; Göbel & Helmke, 2010; Cronjé, 2011).

Furthermore, EFL instructors need to integrate cultural information as part of their language teaching, since it appears to be
introduced more randomly than other aspects of their teaching. The reason is that there are no overt, explicit courses in developing cultural awareness in the EFL programme in ELT colleges, except for a language awareness course, which taps into cultural technical terminology without delving deep beyond the introduction of cultural terms.

As elaborated in the literature review section, learning culture is often done through teaching literature (Harumi, 2002; Ilieva, 2005; Deters, 2009; Tay, 2010; Göbel & Helmke, 2010; Cronjé, 2011), but still cultural training requires more rigorous courses specifically geared towards culture teaching. These findings, too, are consistent with prior research which suggests that culture must be integrated with language learning programmes to develop cultural and linguistic competencies (Harumi, 2002; Ilieva, 2005; Deters, 2009; Göbel & Helmke, 2010). According to Dirven and Putz (1993), and many others (Byrnes, 1991; Hinkel, 1999; Kramsch, 1998; Valdes, 1995), language teachers should concern themselves with the teaching of cultural competence in addition to language, for it is now commonly believed that

"misunderstandings that can occur between people of different cultures may not be reducible exclusively to language difficulties, but may be also attributable to different sets of experiences, different expectations and even profoundly different ways of thinking" (Stephens, 1997, p. 123).

The less rigorous approach to culture teaching as embedded in language teaching material, the rigid socio-religious inhibitors, the lack of appropriate literary texts, and the lack of time and technology suggest that culture learning – all such factors play a secondary and less supportive role to language learning at large in current English language programme. In other words, the more
absent these factors are, the more effective language learning becomes. This being the case, culture learning and language learning are integrally related, with culture teaching being no longer viewed as an ‘add-on’ but rather as an ‘integral part’ of second/foreign language learning" (Courchene, 1996, p. 1). This finding is also compatible with prior research which has recognised a relationship between culture learning and language education (Roberts, et al., 2001; Kubota, 2003; Kramsch, 2003; Zaid, 2011). The present study, commensurate with earlier research (Damen, 1987; Cortazzi & Jin, 1999; Shardakova & Pavlenko, 2004; Zaid, 2011) has identified that "language learning materials and foreign language teachers are influential bearers of culture in the second/foreign language classroom" (Zaid, 2011, p. 1).

In the same vein, instructors also need to adapt their teaching style to commensurately accommodate to the cultural expectations of the students. In many ways, these adaptations should emphasise the importance of culture in EFL, at the cost of their own socio-cultural identity. They also need to address the inhibitors and barriers to culture teaching within literature courses with more facilitative and enabling alternatives or curricular adaptation or change that will help to activate culture learning for the students.

**Further research**

Suggested research in culture teaching requires that more adapted research on large-sized samples be conducted. Both non-native English-speaking instructors and ESL instructors may express different views about the teaching of culture which could prove useful for either model-building or textbook authors and publishers, which requires an orientation towards reconsidering the TEFL course-texts available in Saudi Arabia. Thus, teachers by using literature teaching derived from the different varieties of English (e.g., American, British, Australian, New Zealandish, South African, etc.) within language learning curriculum more than
it is being used will lead EFL students to understand more effectively the representations of the different English cultures to be found in these varied literary texts, and will induce them to achieve higher levels of multicultural literacy, which makes them more effective intercultural communicators.

Further research is also required to verify whether there is a direct nexus between openness to cultural and linguistic patterns and the ability to learn a second or foreign language. Such a link could take on special significance at a time when the nature of intercultural competence is receiving widespread international attention.

Therefore, new evaluation and investigation methods will be necessary to collect data to investigate the effects of cultural learning on EFL learning and the overall ELT curriculum development. One approach would be to look for relationships between language learners' openness to different cultural perspectives and their progress in second/foreign language acquisition/learning.
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Appendix A: Teachers’ Survey
Culture in the EFL Classroom in a Saudi University

The purpose of this survey is to understand more about whether and how culture is conveyed and used as a teaching medium by teachers of EFL in King Khalid University. Researchers sincerely appreciate your help in filling out this form. You may add more detail or comments in the comments space on any question below:

Demographic information

Institution (circle one)
- University ______
- Junior college______
- other ______

Number of years teaching at post-secondary level ---------------

Course information
- Average number of students in your English courses _____
- Main skill focus(es) of the courses you teach (circle a maximum of three)

- Speaking - Listening – Reading – Writing - Multi-skill

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture Content</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Do you think it is important for EFL teachers to include aspects of the target language’s culture as part of their classroom teaching?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Do you include cultural information about your native country or English language culture in your classes?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. If you do include cultural content, is your cultural content planned or is it introduced spontaneously?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. If you do include cultural content, is that information concerned with factual/overt culture, e.g. food, music, people, housing, etc?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role of Language and Culture in TEFL</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. If you do include cultural content, is that information concerned with covert culture, e.g. values, beliefs, attitudes, etc?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Do you teach cultural aspects of language where English differs from Arabic, e.g. greetings, shake-hands, use of first/last names, giving/responding to compliments, etc. as part of your class?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. If you do, do you explain wider aspects of this behaviour, e.g. individualism/group-orientation, at the same time?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Do you provide contrastive cultural examples? For example, 'In Arabic you say &quot;Ahlan wa sahlan&quot; where in English we say &quot;Nice to meet you&quot; in introductions.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Inhibitors and impediments of teaching culture within literature: Adjustment of published materials**

| 9. Are these cultural aspects found in the published texts that you use? |   |   |   |   |   |
| 10. Have you adjusted your teaching style based on your knowledge of your students’ culture since beginning teaching in Saudi Arabia? |   |   |   |   |   |
| 11. Do you use textbooks in your classes? |   |   |   |   |   |
| 12. If you do, do your textbooks include any cultural information? |   |   |   |   |   |

**Comments:**

..............................................................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................................
..............................................................................................................................................................

**Thank you**

40 Umm Al- Qurma University Journal of Languages and Literature
Appendix A: Students’ Survey  
Culture in the EFL Classroom in a Saudi University

The purpose of this survey is to understand more about whether and how culture is conveyed and used in your learning approaches and materials of EFL in King Khalid University. The Researcher sincerely appreciates your help in filling out this form. You may add more detail or comments in the comments space on any question below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture Content</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Do you think it is important for you as an EFL student to study the aspects of the target language’s culture as part of your classroom language learning?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Do you see cultural information about your native country or English language culture in your classes?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. If you do see cultural content, is cultural content planned by your teachers or is it introduced spontaneously in the materials and the methods of teaching of your teachers?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. If you do see cultural content, is that information concerned with factual/overt culture, e.g. food, music, people, housing, etc. or covert aspects of culture such as beliefs, values and morals?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role of Language and Culture in TEFL</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5. If you do include cultural content, is that information concerned with covert culture, e.g. values, beliefs, attitudes, etc?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Do you teach cultural aspects of language where English differs from Arabic, e.g. greetings, shake-hands, use of first/last names, giving/responding to compliments, etc. as part of your class?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. If you do, do you explain wider aspects of this behaviour, e.g. individualism/group-orientation, at the same time?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Do you see contrastive cultural examples in learning materials?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Comments:

...........................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................
...........................................................................................................................................

Thank you