







Course Title: English Language 2

Course Code: ELCM1102

**Program: Bachelor's in Medicine and Dentistry Colleges** 

**Department: English Language Institute** 

College: English Language Institute

Institution: Umm Al Qura University

Version: 3

Last Revision Date: 22 October 2024

Ministry Of Education	ورارة التعليمين
Umm Al-Qura University	جامعية أم القيري
University Vice Presidency	وكالــــة الجامعـــــة
For Academic Affairs	للشــــؤون الأكاديميـة
English language Institute	معهد اللغـة الإنجليزيــة







# **Table of Contents**

A. General information about the course:	3
B. Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs), Teaching Strategies and Assessment	
Methods	4
C. Course Content	13
D. Students Assessment Activities	13
E. Learning Resources and Facilities	14
F. Assessment of Course Quality	14
G. Specification Approval	14





#### A. General information about the course:

#### **1. Course Identification**

### 1. Credit hours: (4 hours)

2. Course type	
----------------	--

Α.	□University	⊠ College	Department	□Track	□Others
В.	🛛 Required		□Electi	ve	
<b>3.</b> L	3. Level/year at which this course is offered: (level 2)				

#### 4. Course General Description:

English Language 2 is a single-level, English for General Purposes (EGP) course. All students who are admitted to Bachelor's in Medicine and Dentistry Colleges are required to take this course in the second semester of the first year of their program. The course is offered in 10 weeks with a 16-hour-per week teaching plan covering the four language skills. It intends to develop students' knowledge and ability of English language in all major skills which include reading, writing, listening, and speaking, as well as in sub-skills including grammar, vocabulary, and pronunciation.

#### 5. Pre-requirements for this course (if any):

English Language 1 (Code: ELCM1101)

6. Co-requisites for this course (if any):

N/A

#### 7. Course Main Objective(s):

English Language 2 is one level taking students from (CEFR) B1 to B1+.





	•		
No	Mode of Instruction	Contact Hours	Percentage
1	Traditional classroom	12 hours per week	75%
2	E-learning	4 hours per week	25%
3	<ul><li>Hybrid</li><li>Traditional classroom</li><li>E-learning</li></ul>	0	0
4	Distance learning	0	0

# 2. Teaching mode (mark all that apply)

#### 3. Contact Hours (based on the academic semester)

No	Activity	Contact Hours
1.	Lectures	(16 hours) X (10 weeks)
2.	Laboratory/Studio	0
3.	Field	0
4.	Tutorial	0
5.	Others (specify)	0
Total		160 hours

# **B.** Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs), Teaching Strategies and Assessment Methods

Code	Course Learning Outcomes	Code of PLOs aligned with the program	Teaching Strategies	Assessment Methods
1.0	Knowledge and under	standing		
1.1	By the end of the course, the students are expected to be able to: exhibit adequate comprehension of simple and complex spoken materials at the B1+ level through recognizing		Listening exercises	Listening periodic exams





Code	Course Learning	Code of PLOs aligned	Teaching	Assessment
	Outcomes	with the program	Strategies	Methods
	key words, stress,			
	intonation, pauses,			
	and linkers in fast			
	speech understand the main ideas of			
	conversations,			
	presentations, radio			
	programs, news			
	reports, podcasts, discussions and			
	interviews - identify			
	main ideas and			
	supporting ideas -			
	develop listening for			
	detail, examples and			
	reasons - listen for			
	transition words in			
	spoken contexts to			
	help follow the			
	speech or			
	conversation -			
	recognize how stress			
	can emphasize a			
	new point is being			
	made - listen to the			
	tone to distinguish			
	attitude			
	demonstrate an			
	understanding of			
	grammar at the B1+			
	level, incorporating			Periodic Exams
	tenses, part of			Continuous
	speech, modal		•	writing
1.2	auxiliaries, and		Grammar	assessment
	sentence structure		exercises	Speaking assessment
	be aware of the			Writing Final
	difference between			Exam Final Exam
	stative and dynamic			
	verbs, and how rules			
	may change in			





Code	Course Learning Outcomes	Code of PLOs aligned with the program	Teaching Strategies	Assessment Methods
	informal speech, e.g. "I'm loving it" - modify comparisons, "by far the best", "a little busier" - use modals for speculation, "it may be" "it could be" - use subject and object relative clauses correctly - use the present unreal conditional to discuss hypothetical situations, e.g. "if I were prime minister, I would" - use modals to discuss past probability - understand the difference between the use of gerunds and infinitives after forget, remember, stop			
1.3	recognize and use lexical items such as words, collocations, and derivatives, both in general and academic contexts at the B1+ level develop vocabulary of the topics covered in order to be able to talk about them with others - be aware of, and build word families - be aware of the		Writing, reading, and vocabulary exercises	Periodic Exams Continuous writing assessment Speaking assessment Writing Final Exam Final Exam



Code	Course Learning	Code of PLOs aligned	Teaching	Assessment
Coue	Outcomes	with the program	Strategies	Methods
	meaning of ALL CAPS			
	in text messages -			
	use reporting words			
	to convey meaning,			
	e.g. explained,			
	persuaded - use			
	various expressions			
	(not supposed to,			
	allowed to) to			
	express prohibition,			
	permission or			
	obligation -			
	understand the use			
	of the causative			
	verbs, help, let,			
	make - recognize			
	substitution and			
	referencing in texts -			
	be aware of			
	importance of			
	linking words in			
	academic texts, and			
	develop the range			
	and use - reference			
	another argument in			
	your writing			
2.0	Skills	P	*	-
	cognitive			
	Skills:			
	demonstrate			
	comprehension of			
	simple and complex			
	written texts at the		Reading	Classroom
2.1	B1+ level through		comprehension	discussion
	applying the skills of		exercises	Periodic exams
	scanning, skimming,			Final exam
	guessing from			
	context and through			
	recognizing linking			





Code	Course Learning	Code of PLOs aligned	Teaching	Assessment
	Outcomes	with the program	Strategies	Methods
	attitude and			
	meaning - identify			
	arguments and support - identify			
	main points of			
	paragraphs, and			
	make notes on the			
	details to facilitate			
	summarizing or			
	paraphrasing later -			
	note the use of			
	adjectives and			
	adverbs to discern			
	attitude in text -			
	recall key information -			
	develop different			
	note-taking skills,			
	such as the use of			
	timelines for			
	chronologically			
	ordered texts			
	compose			
	coherent/cohesive			
	texts at the B1+ level			
	for various general			
	and academic			
	purposes through applying the skills of			
	brainstorming ideas,			continuous
	composing an			writing
2.2	outline, and		writing exercises	assessment
	editing/revision			Writing Final
	write a variety of			Exam
	texts of several			
	paragraphs - write a			
	letter of apology -			
	write formal and			
	informal emails -			
	produce and			





Code	Course Learning Outcomes	Code of PLOs aligned with the program	Teaching Strategies	Assessment Methods
	conduct a survey, and write a description of the data results - write complex sentences, using after, while, until - reread and proofread to improve a finished text			
2.3	communicate effectively in spoken language at the B1+ level in tasks such as oral presentations, group discussion, expressing opinions, and short talks discuss familiar and unfamiliar topics - take part in an interview, role plays, debates and discussions - give short presentations - use signals to get back on track, e.g. anyway, where was I? - discuss hypothetical situations, e.g. life without a phone - talk about wishes and hopes		Speaking exercises Discussion Presentation, E.g. an advertisement, a tourist campaign, a YouTube video	Speaking assessment
	Critical Thinking:			Monitoring



CodeCoursewith the programStrategiespersuasive arguments - analyze sources of information when conducting research - analyze and interpret the results of a survey - evaluate things from a different perspective - reflect on own assumptions, beliefs and values - evaluate and rank items according to usefulness or importance - evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems and proposeTexting to to the program strategies	Methods
arguments - analyze sources of information when conducting research - analyze and interpret the results of a survey - evaluate things from a different perspective - reflect on own assumptions, beliefs and values - evaluate and rank items according to usefulness or importance - evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
sources of information when conducting research - analyze and interpret the results of a survey - evaluate things from a different perspective - reflect on own assumptions, beliefs and values - evaluate and rank items according to usefulness or importance - evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
information when conducting research - analyze and interpret the results of a survey - evaluate things from a different perspective - reflect on own assumptions, beliefs and values - evaluate and rank items according to usefulness or importance - evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
conducting research - analyze and interpret the results of a survey - evaluate things from a different perspective - reflect on own assumptions, beliefs and values - evaluate and rank items according to usefulness or importance - evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
- analyze and interpret the results of a survey - evaluate things from a different perspective - reflect on own assumptions, beliefs and values - evaluate and rank items according to usefulness or importance - evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
interpret the results of a survey - evaluate things from a different perspective - reflect on own assumptions, beliefs and values - evaluate and rank items according to usefulness or importance - evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
of a survey - evaluate things from a different perspective - reflect on own assumptions, beliefs and values - evaluate and rank items according to usefulness or importance - evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
evaluate things from a different perspective - reflect on own assumptions, beliefs and values - evaluate and rank items according to usefulness or importance - evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
a different perspective - reflect on own assumptions, beliefs and values - evaluate and rank items according to usefulness or importance - evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
perspective - reflect on own assumptions, beliefs and values - evaluate and rank items according to usefulness or importance - evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
on own assumptions, beliefs and values - evaluate and rank items according to usefulness or importance - evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
assumptions, beliefs and values - evaluate and rank items according to usefulness or importance - evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
and values - evaluate and rank items according to usefulness or importance - evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
evaluate and rankitems according tousefulness orimportance -evaluate arguments(evidence of supportor relevance) - infermeaning fromwritten or spokentext - appraise a textaccording to criteria,and providefeedback - appraisearguments, identifyinconsistencies anderrors - understandthe links betweenideas in a logical,systematic way -evaluate problems	
items according to usefulness or importance - evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
usefulness or importance - evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
importance -evaluate arguments(evidence of supportor relevance) - infermeaning fromwritten or spokentext - appraise a textaccording to criteria,and providefeedback - appraisearguments, identifyinconsistencies anderrors - understandthe links betweenideas - organizeideas in a logical,systematic way -evaluate problems	
evaluate arguments (evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
(evidence of support or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
or relevance) - infer meaning from written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
meaning fromwritten or spokentext - appraise a textaccording to criteria,and providefeedback - appraisearguments, identifyinconsistencies anderrors - understandthe links betweenideas - organizeideas in a logical,systematic way -evaluate problems	
written or spoken text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
text - appraise a text according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
according to criteria, and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
and provide feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
feedback - appraise arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
arguments, identify inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
inconsistencies and errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
errors - understand the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
the links between ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
ideas - organize ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
ideas in a logical, systematic way - evaluate problems	
systematic way - evaluate problems	
evaluate problems	
-	
and propose	
solutions - reflect on	
knowledge gained	





Code	Course Learning	Code of PLOs aligned	Teaching	Assessment
Coue	Outcomes	with the program	Strategies	Methods
2.5	Communication, Information Technology, Numerical - research, discuss and present information - describe and give personal opinions on a variety of topics - express general beliefs reach a compromise to solve a problem		Demonstrations Active self- learning Pair work Group work e- learning Online material (Encourage students to make their presentations to small groups in the class)	Monitoring students' progress Evaluating the individual contribution Evaluating the teamwork Evaluating the final product (Evaluation of presentations may be by peers)
2.6	Psychomotor - give confident, persuasive presentations - take part in an interview, role plays, debates and discussions - place stress correctly in long words		active self- learning Pair work Group work	monitoring students' progress
3.0	Values, autonomy, and	d responsibility		
3.1	develop life-long learning strategies so that students can take full responsibility of their English language skill development.		Cambridge application Cambridge LMS	Built-in immediate feedback
3.2	develop academic integrity.		Writing exercises	Continuous writing assessment Speaking assessment





Code	Course Learning Outcomes	Code of PLOs aligned with the program	Teaching Strategies	Assessment Methods
				Writing Final Exam
3.3	collaborate in knowledge building and co-operate with peers: - hold short discussions with a partner to activate knowledge before listening tasks - hold short discussions with a partner to synthesize knowledge post- listening - work with others to brainstorm, create a convincing argument - work with others to rank items in order of importance - give feedback to peers on writing, presentations, etc ask for opinions and check information		Peer work Group work	Evaluating the individual contribution Evaluating the teamwork Evaluating the final product
3.4	Take the responsibilities to meet the requirements of the jobs market: write a personal statement - write a resumé - be aware of the importance of good time management - be aware of the importance of turn-		Individual, peer and group work inside classrooms. Extramural language work to master the competencies at this language level.	monitoring students' progress





Code	Course Learning	Code of PLOs aligned	Teaching	Assessment
	Outcomes	with the program	Strategies	Methods
	taking in debates or discussions - be aware of learning from failure			

#### **C.** Course Content

No	List of Topics	Contact Hours
	Evolve 4 special Edition: level 3 (B1+)	
1.	Unit 1: And We're Off	13
2.	Unit 2: The Future of Food	13
3.	Unit 3: What's It Worth?	13
4.	Unit 4: Going Local	15
5.	Unit 5: True Stories	13
6.	Unit 6: Community Action	13
7.	Unit 7: Can We Talk?	13
8.	Unit 8: Lifestyles	15
9.	Unit 9: Yes, you can	13
10.	Unit 10: What if?	13
11.	Unit 11: Contrasts	13
12.	Unit 12: Looking Back	13
	Total	160

# **D. Students Assessment Activities**

No	Assessment Activities *	Assessment timing (in week no)	Percentage of Total Assessment Score
1.	Periodic Exam 1	The 4 <sup>th</sup>	15
2.	Periodic Exam 2	The 8 <sup>th</sup>	15
3.	Writing Assessment	From the 1 <sup>st</sup> to the 10 <sup>th</sup>	10
4.	Speaking Assessment	From the 1 <sup>st</sup> to the 10 <sup>th</sup>	10
5.	Online Practice	From the 1 <sup>st</sup> to the 10 <sup>th</sup>	5
6.	Writing Final Exam	The 11 <sup>th</sup>	5
7.	Final Exam	The 11 <sup>th</sup>	40
	Total		100

\*Assessment Activities (i.e., Written test, oral test, oral presentation, group project, essay, etc.).





# **E.** Learning Resources and Facilities

# **1. References and Learning Resources**

Essential References	Goldstein, B., Jones, C., Hendra, L., Tilbury, A. (2019). Evolve 4: Special Edition. Student's Book with Practice Extra. Cambridge University Press. UK: Cambridge University Press.	
Supportive References		
Electronic Materials	Cambridge LMS, Multimedia	
Other Learning Materials		
2. Required Facilities and equipment		

Items	Resources
facilities	Classrooms
(Classrooms, laboratories, exhibition rooms, simulation rooms, etc.)	
<b>Technology equipment</b> (projector, smart board, software)	Data show, Smart Board, and Cambridge application
Other equipment	Blackboard
(depending on the nature of the specialty)	

# F. Assessment of Course Quality

Assessment Areas/Issues	Assessor	Assessment Methods
Effectiveness of teaching	Faculty members	Direct: course reports
Effectiveness of Students' assessment	Faculty members	Direct: course reports
Quality of learning resources	University students	Direct: evaluation surveys
The extent to which CLOs have been achieved	University students	Direct: evaluation surveys
Other		

Assessors (Students, Faculty, Program Leaders, Peer Reviewers, Others (specify) Assessment Methods (Direct, Indirect)

#### **G. Specification Approval**

COUNCIL /COMMITTEE	Curriculum committee	
REFERENCE NO.	2401100726	
DATE	05/11/2024	ورارة النقاب العليم بالمراجع Ministry Of Education العالي المراجع Umm Al-Qura University
		وكالـــة الجامعـــة For Academic Affairs English language Instructe

